

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.


ASB249
.A3

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED

AUG 18 2011
1952 - 1956

***UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service
Cotton Division***

***MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE
August 1956***



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
Cotton Division

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED
1952-56

CONTENTS

	Table No.	Page No.
Introduction		1
Leading Varieties		1
United States Map		2
Varieties by States and United States	1	3
Varieties by States and Counties:		
Alabama		
Varieties by State	8	10
Varieties by Counties	9	11
Arizona		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Arkansas		
Varieties by State	15	16
Varieties by Counties	16	17
California		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Florida		
Varieties by State	23	26
Georgia		
Varieties by State	6	8
Varieties by Counties	7	9
Illinois		
Varieties by State	23	26
Kentucky		
Varieties by State	23	26
Louisiana		
Varieties by State	17	18
Varieties by Parishes	18	19
Mississippi		
Varieties by State	10	12
Varieties by Counties	11	13
Missouri		
Varieties by State	23	26
Varieties by Counties	14	15
New Mexico		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
North Carolina		
Varieties by State	2	4
Varieties by Counties	3	5
Oklahoma		
Varieties by State	19	20
Varieties by Counties	20	21
South Carolina		
Varieties by State	4	6
Varieties by Counties	5	7
Tennessee		
Varieties by State	12	14
Varieties by Counties	13	15
Texas		
Varieties by State	21	22-23
Varieties by Counties	22	24-25
Texas-District 6		
Varieties by District	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Virginia		
Varieties by State	23	26

P. O. Box 8074, Crosstown Station

Memphis, Tennessee

August 1956

Cotton Varieties Planted, United States

1952-56

This is the sixth in the series of annual reports entitled "Cotton Varieties Planted", published by the Department of Agriculture. The report presents estimates of the percentage of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1956, by counties, districts, and states. Comparative data for the four years 1952-55 are shown by districts and states.

In the last four years a preliminary report presenting the percentage distribution of cotton varieties planted by counties and districts in the early harvesting area of south Texas has preceded the issuance of the report for the United States. This report is released before the national publication is completed so that variety information may be available prior to the commencement of the active marketing season in south Texas.

The variety data are based principally on estimates furnished by cotton ginner for the territories served by their gins. These estimates are supplemented by information supplied by other persons closely associated with cotton culture in their respective areas, such as seed breeders and dealers, and county agents.

The estimates contained herein indicate that for the belt as a whole four general varieties account for nearly three-fourths of the cotton acreage in 1956. These principal varieties in their order of relative importance are Deltapine, Acala, Coker and Lankart. In 1956, Deltapine accounts for 34 percent of the United States total acreage compared with 31 percent in each of the preceding three seasons. Acreage planted to Acala accounts for 17 percent of the 1956 total compared with 21 percent in the previous season. Coker remains in third place among the leading varieties. In 1956, Coker accounts for 13 percent of the season's total compared with 12 percent in the preceding season. Lankart continues to increase steadily in relative importance. Lankart was planted on 10 percent of the 1956 total acreage against 7 percent in the previous season and 6 percent two years ago.

Coker is the predominate variety planted in the southeastern area; Deltapine is the leader in the south central area; Lankart is the principal variety in the southwestern area; and Acala accounts for the bulk of the acreage in the far western area.

In the southeastern area of the belt, Coker 100-W accounts for nearly two-thirds of the cotton acreage. Estimates indicate that practically all of the acreage in North Carolina and South Carolina was planted to this variety. Coker 100-W accounts for a little over half of the acreage in Georgia and more than a third of the total in Alabama. Deltapine and Empire each accounts for nearly a fifth of the acreage in the southeastern area in 1956.

In the south central area of the belt, Deltapine accounts for a little less than three-fourths of the total acreage planted to cotton in 1956. The proportion planted to this variety ranged from 94 percent in Louisiana to 33 percent in Missouri. Delfos rates second among the leading varieties in this area followed by Stoneville and Empire. These three varieties account for about 15 percent of the total acreage in the south central area.

In the southwestern area of the belt, the principal varieties planted in their order of relative importance are Lankart, Deltapine, Northern Star, and Acala. These four varieties account for about 70 percent of the total acreage. Lankart leads with about a third of the total acreage; Deltapine is second with nearly a fifth of the total. Northern Star and Acala combined accounts for a fifth of the acreage planted to cotton.

In the far western area of the belt, Acala continues to predominate. Acala accounts for about 97 percent of the acreage in California; about 93 percent in Arizona; 79 percent in New Mexico; and over 90 percent in District 6 of Texas. Practically all of the American-Egyptian acreage planted is Pima S-1. This variety has rapidly replaced Pima 32 which until last season was the leading variety of American-Egyptian.

The data presented in this report are estimates which should serve as reliable guides as to the geographic distribution and the proportions of the various varieties of cotton throughout the Cotton Belt.


Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1952-56

Year	Acala	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta- pine	Empire	Fox	Lankart	Northern Star	Rowden	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1952	19	17	*	30	4	*	2	*	4	4	11	100
1953	21	15	1	31	4	2	3	1	3	4	12	100
1954	19	13	1	31	4	3	6	3	3	4	14	100
1955	21	12	2	31	4	2	7	3	2	3	15	100
1956	17	13	4	34	5	2	10	3	1	3	8	100


* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

UNITED STATES Districts for Cotton Quality Reports



U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 2. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Delta-pine	Empire	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1952	169	98	*	1	*	-	1	100
1953	132	96	*	2	1	-	1	100
1954	88	97	*	1	1	-	1	100
1955	136	98	*	1	*	-	1	100
1956	-	97	-	1	2	-	*	100
District 2								
1952	236	98	*	*	-	-	2	100
1953	169	99	-	*	-	*	1	100
1954	151	99	*	*	*	*	*	100
1955	111	99	-	*	*	-	*	100
1956	-	99	-	1	*	-	-	100
District 3								
1952	179	99	-	*	*	*	1	100
1953	163	100	*	*	*	*	*	100
1954	146	98	-	1	*	*	1	100
1955	113	99	-	*	*	-	*	100
1956	-	99	-	*	*	-	*	100
State								
1952	584	98	*	1	*	*	1	100
1953	464	98	*	1	*	*	1	100
1954	385	98	*	1	*	*	1	100
1955	360	99	*	1	*	-	*	100
1956	-	98	-	1	1	-	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 3. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Rucker	Other 1/	—	Total
	<u>Number</u>	<u>1,000 bales</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Percent</u>
District 1									
Anson	12	13.4	99	*	-	-	*	-	100
Cabarrus	7	3.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Catawba	7	2.6	91	-	7	2	*	-	100
Cleveland	27	44.5	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Gaston	4	1.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Iredell	9	10.8	98	1	1	-	-	-	100
Lincoln	10	9.7	98	1	-	1	-	-	100
Mecklenburg	6	8.7	99	1	-	-	*	-	100
Polk	3	3.3	80	3	17	-	-	-	100
Rowan	4	4.7	79	2	19	-	-	-	100
Rutherford	9	8.8	91	1	8	-	-	-	100
Stanley	6	2.9	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Union	19	18.0	100	*	*	-	-	-	100
All Other	3	4.3	100	-	*	-	-	-	100
Total	126	136.4	97	1	2	*	*	-	100
			Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Other 1/	—	—	Total
District 2									
Bertie	5	3.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Chowan	3	1.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Edgecombe	7	4.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Franklin	10	5.9	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Gates	4	1.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Halifax	17	15.9	100	*	*	-	-	-	100
Hertford	3	3.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Johnston	22	11.6	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Lenoir	2	1.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Nash	13	8.9	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Northampton	17	18.0	91	7	2	-	-	-	100
Perquimans	4	.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pitt	6	4.6	99	1	-	-	-	-	100
Wake	8	3.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Warren	11	5.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Wayne	12	7.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Wilson	8	6.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	8	6.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	160	110.5	99	1	*	-	-	-	100
			Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half and Half	Moore Special	Other 1/	Total
District 3									
Bladen	4	1.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Cumberland	11	8.3	99	*	-	1	-	*	100
Duplin	4	1.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Harnet	15	13.1	99	-	-	-	-	1	100
Hoke	4	7.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lee	3	2.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Richmond	4	2.8	98	-	-	2	-	*	100
Robeson	27	38.3	100	-	*	-	-	-	100
Sampson	18	15.3	100	*	-	*	-	*	100
Scotland	10	16.7	99	-	*	-	-	*	100
All Other	5	5.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	105	112.5	99	*	*	*	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 4. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Delta-pine	Empire	Stone-wilt	White Gold	Other ^{1/}	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
1952	187	99	*	*	*	-	*	1	100
1953	167	99	-	*	-	-	*	1	100
1954	121	99	-	-	-	-	*	*	100
1955	207	97	-	1	2	*	-	*	100
1956	-	99	-	*	1	-	-	*	100
District 2									
1952	332	99	*	*	-	-	-	1	100
1953	356	99	*	*	*	*	*	1	100
1954	273	99	*	*	-	-	-	*	100
1955	235	99	*	*	*	*	-	1	100
1956	-	99	*	-	*	-	-	*	100
District 3									
1952	152	98	*	*	*	1	*	1	100
1953	176	98	-	1	*	1	-	*	100
1954	127	97	-	-	-	1	*	2	100
1955	124	99	-	*	*	*	-	1	100
1956	-	99	-	-	*	*	-	*	100
State									
1952	671	99	*	*	*	*	*	1	100
1953	699	99	*	*	*	*	*	1	100
1954	521	99	*	-	-	*	*	*	100
1955	566	99	-	*	*	*	-	*	100
1956	-	99	*	*	*	*	-	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 5. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
Abbeville	5	9.2	93	-	6	1	-	100
Anderson	25	31.0	95	-	5	-	-	100
Cherokee	10	9.9	100	-	-	-	-	100
Chester	10	9.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Edgefield	8	12.8	98	-	-	-	2	100
Fairfield	6	5.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Greenville	15	17.7	98	1	1	-	-	100
Greenwood	5	4.0	100	*	-	-	-	100
Laurens	13	17.1	100	-	-	-	-	100
McCormick	3	3.5	98	-	2	-	-	100
Newberry	7	9.1	100	-	*	-	-	100
Oconee	7	8.8	100	-	-	-	-	100
Pickens	8	7.7	100	-	-	-	-	100
Saluda	7	7.7	100	-	-	-	-	100
Spartanburg	31	28.8	99	-	-	-	1	100
Union	4	4.2	100	-	-	-	-	100
York	15	20.9	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	179	207.3	99	*	1	*	*	100

Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Empire	Fox	Other 1/	Total
-------------	-------------	--------	-----	----------	-------

District 2								
Chesterfield	16	26.5	98	1	-	-	1	100
Clarendon	14	18.8	100	-	-	-	-	100
Darlington	10	16.2	99	1	-	-	-	100
Dillon	10	13.0	98	-	1	-	1	100
Florence	17	22.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Horry	3	1.1	100	-	-	-	-	100
Kershaw	7	10.3	100	-	-	-	-	100
Lancaster	9	9.4	95	3	-	-	2	100
Lee	14	36.2	100	-	-	-	-	100
Marion	5	4.3	100	-	-	-	-	100
Marlboro	18	27.6	96	-	-	3	1	100
Richland	4	3.8	100	-	-	-	-	100
Sumter	22	32.9	100	-	-	-	-	100
Williamsburg	10	10.0	100	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	1	2.3	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	160	234.9	99	*	*	*	*	100

Coker 100-W	Fox	Empire	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
-------------	-----	--------	------------	----------	-------

District 3								
Aiken	11	12.1	100	-	-	-	-	100
Allendale	7	5.6	100	-	-	-	-	100
Bamberg	5	7.7	100	-	-	-	-	100
Barnwell	8	10.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Calhoun	8	12.4	90	-	2	2	6	100
Colleton	7	5.9	100	-	-	-	-	100
Dorchester	5	7.7	100	-	-	-	-	100
Hampton	6	6.6	100	-	-	-	-	100
Lexington	7	9.1	100	-	-	-	-	100
Orangeburg	32	43.5	100	*	-	-	*	100
All Other	3	2.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	99	123.6	99	*	*	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 6. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and states, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stone-ville	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
1952	300	58	10	26	-	*	3	2	1	100
1953	295	57	10	28	-	-	2	1	2	100
1954	215	57	8	30	1	-	1	1	2	100
1955	288	56	7	33	1	*	1	*	2	100
1956	-	57	7	33	1	*	1	*	1	100
District 2										
1952	255	56	17	16	-	*	3	-	8	100
1953	273	54	20	18	-	2	3	*	3	100
1954	246	50	16	23	3	4	2	*	2	100
1955	249	50	17	23	3	5	1	1	*	100
1956	-	47	14	29	1	7	1	1	*	100
District 3										
1952	177	72	4	15	-	*	2	4	3	100
1953	184	75	6	13	-	*	1	2	3	100
1954	154	69	5	17	2	1	1	4	1	100
1955	157	58	5	17	1	1	1	5	2	100
1956	-	69	6	17	*	1	1	1	5	100
State										
1952	732	60	11	19	-	*	3	2	5	100
1953	752	60	13	21	-	1	2	1	2	100
1954	615	58	10	24	2	2	2	1	1	100
1955	695	56	11	25	2	2	1	2	1	100
1956	-	57	9	28	1	3	1	1	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 7. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Baldwin	3	2.0	72	5	23	-	-	-	100
Banks	3	3.1	68	5	24	-	1	2	100
Barrow	5	8.1	37	16	47	-	-	-	100
Bartow	10	21.8	68	1	31	-	-	-	100
Carroll	9	6.9	69	-	31	•	-	•	100
Chattooga	3	5.1	21	5	74	-	-	-	100
Coweta	9	8.2	34	•	66	-	-	-	100
Elbert	4	8.2	32	2	36	7	-	-	100
Floyd	8	7.7	60	4	36	-	-	-	100
Forsythe	7	1.3	18	11	41	-	-	30	100
Franklin	9	12.0	56	2	42	•	-	-	100
Fulton	3	1.3	21	-	72	-	-	7	100
Gordon	7	10.2	51	1	43	4	-	1	100
Greene	5	1.9	17	4	79	-	-	-	100
Gwinnett	10	3.9	56	5	35	-	-	4	100
Hall	5	1.8	50	-	50	-	-	-	100
Hancock	4	7.6	28	12	55	-	5	-	100
Haralson	4	1.7	45	-	52	-	2	1	100
Hart	8	13.8	69	-	31	-	-	-	100
Henry	6	10.4	74	3	18	-	4	1	100
Jackson	9	9.8	57	8	28	-	7	-	100
Jasper	4	4.2	33	24	25	17	-	1	100
Lamar	3	1.3	33	-	67	-	-	-	100
Madison	4	11.7	80	•	20	-	-	-	100
McDuffie	3	5.0	59	-	-	-	-	41	100
Meriwether	7	10.7	64	28	7	-	-	1	100
Morgan	10	13.5	79	7	14	-	-	-	100
Murray	3	3.2	23	5	72	-	-	-	100
Newton	4	6.0	55	25	20	-	-	-	100
Oconee	3	6.4	59	2	39	-	-	-	100
Oglethorpe	4	2.1	88	1	11	-	-	-	100
Paulding	3	1.9	20	-	80	-	-	-	100
Pike	4	4.9	74	-	25	-	1	-	100
Folk	6	5.7	40	-	60	-	-	-	100
Spalding	3	2.2	93	-	7	-	-	-	100
Walker	3	2.2	18	9	69	-	-	4	100
Walton	15	21.5	59	31	8	2	-	-	100
Warren	3	6.0	65	-	35	-	-	-	100
Whitfield	4	1.3	31	-	66	-	-	3	100
Wilkes	4	3.1	49	12	29	10	-	-	100
All Other	29	28.7	60	4	36	-	-	-	100
Total	250	288.4	57	7	33	1	1	1	100

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Other 1/	Total
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 2									
Bleckley	3	4.9	86	11	3	-	-	-	100
Brooks	4	8.2	60	9	16	5	10	-	100
Calhoun	4	7.9	81	4	15	-	-	-	100
Coffee	4	4.7	70	4	17	-	9	-	100
Colquitt	8	21.1	24	15	52	1	8	•	100
Crisp	4	9.9	26	8	17	2	47	-	100
Dodge	9	7.9	37	4	55	•	4	-	100
Dooley	8	16.5	46	42	5	-	5	2	100
Early	3	8.6	37	11	49	-	2	1	100
Irwin	3	5.8	13	1	84	-	2	-	100
Lowndes	3	2.7	65	7	16	6	6	-	100
Macon	5	9.3	59	9	14	-	3	15	100
Mitchell	5	11.0	62	3	32	2	1	-	100
Pulaski	4	8.9	37	16	34	3	9	1	100
Sumter	4	11.7	26	61	3	2	8	-	100
Taylor	5	10.3	35	-	65	-	-	-	100
Terrell	5	15.1	68	9	9	3	•	11	100
Thomas	3	6.7	43	2	40	-	15	-	100
Tift	4	8.6	55	5	36	-	4	-	100
Turner	4	8.5	26	16	43	•	15	-	100
Wilcox	5	7.4	56	8	21	-	15	-	100
Worth	5	8.7	42	12	38	4	4	-	100
All Other	32	44.5	56	14	25	2	3	•	100
Total	134	248.9	47	14	29	1	7	2	100

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Stone-ville	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 3									
Bulloch	7	8.5	82	-	18	-	-	-	100
Burke	17	28.7	73	2	7	3	13	2	100
Candler	5	5.4	76	3	21	-	-	-	100
Emanuel	8	9.7	56	6	37	-	-	1	100
Glascok	4	3.1	52	-	39	-	9	-	100
Jefferson	7	12.2	83	7	8	1	-	1	100
Jenkins	3	8.0	78	-	17	-	5	-	100
Johnson	7	10.7	55	6	26	-	-	13	100
Laurens	9	19.5	51	20	20	-	1	8	100
Richmond	3	1.8	70	5	10	10	5	-	100
Screven	8	7.4	66	-	31	-	-	3	100
Toombs	5	6.3	44	16	38	-	-	2	100
Washington	6	13.8	90	-	-	-	-	10	100
All Other	17	21.9	73	4	18	-	4	1	100
Total	106	157.0	69	6	17	1	4	3	100

• Less than 0.5 percent.
1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 8. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stone-ville	Stone-wilt	White Gold	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1952	414	14	31	23	-	7	19	-	2	4	100
1953	472	14	29	25	-	7	20	*	1	4	100
1954	329	13	28	35	1	8	11	-	1	3	100
1955	485	15	30	29	1	12	11	-	-	2	100
1956	-	17	27	33	2	12	7	-	1	1	100
District 2											
1952	265	59	28	3	-	3	2	*	*	5	100
1953	288	56	31	2	-	5	1	*	*	5	100
1954	216	54	33	3	3	4	1	-	-	2	100
1955	343	59	29	3	1	4	1	-	-	3	100
1956	-	57	27	7	1	5	*	-	-	3	100
District 3											
1952	218	70	8	1	-	4	1	10	-	6	100
1953	207	70	5	2	-	6	*	10	-	7	100
1954	194	67	9	2	-	11	1	7	-	3	100
1955	210	65	7	4	-	13	*	9	-	2	100
1956	-	61	7	4	-	20	*	5	-	3	100
State											
1952	897	41	24	10	-	5	9	3	1	7	100
1953	967	40	24	12	-	6	10	3	*	5	100
1954	739	37	25	19	1	8	6	1	1	2	100
1955	1,038	41	24	15	1	10	5	2	-	2	100
1956	-	39	23	19	1	12	3	1	*	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 9. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Plains	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Blount	16	17.6	64	1	23	-	-	11	-	1	100
Cherokee	16	24.0	19	28	7	-	-	31	10	5	100
Colbert	11	21.1	-	78	12	6	-	1	2	1	100
Cullman	31	42.7	8	4	40	1	-	31	15	1	100
DeKalb	31	43.7	72	-	3	-	-	16	9	*	100
Etowah	12	11.8	50	18	5	-	-	18	8	1	100
Franklin	11	15.8	13	43	8	2	-	1	32	1	100
Jackson	18	24.6	8	22	64	-	-	2	3	1	100
Lauderdale	24	26.7	1	38	52	-	1	4	2	2	100
Lawrence	22	43.6	1	43	29	6	-	13	7	1	100
Limestone	25	54.5	-	23	61	-	-	5	2	9	100
Madison	30	64.8	12	30	56	*	-	1	*	1	100
Marion	9	11.1	1	56	17	17	-	-	7	2	100
Marshall	29	38.8	33	8	6	-	-	39	13	1	100
Morgan	31	37.1	3	46	36	-	-	5	7	3	100
Winston	8	7.2	10	22	45	7	-	5	9	2	100
Total	324	485.1	17	27	33	2	*	12	7	2	100
District 2											
Autauga	8	8.6	8	69	1	-	-	-	-	22	100
Calhoun	6	6.8	-	71	4	11	-	10	4	-	100
Chambers	7	8.7	-	75	9	13	-	-	-	3	100
Chilton	7	12.3	-	89	-	2	-	-	-	9	100
Choctaw	6	7.3	-	66	21	13	-	-	-	-	100
Clay	5	3.5	-	73	-	17	-	10	-	-	100
Dallas	13	35.1	-	66	5	20	-	6	1	2	100
Elmore	10	19.5	-	76	21	3	-	-	-	-	100
Fayette	7	9.3	-	22	5	7	33	33	-	-	100
Greene	5	9.8	-	47	45	8	-	-	-	-	100
Hale	10	18.3	-	24	52	6	-	15	-	3	100
Lamar	7	13.2	-	8	75	5	4	5	-	3	100
Lowndes	10	10.1	1	75	-	-	-	19	-	5	100
Macon	9	17.4	-	93	3	-	-	2	-	2	100
Marengo	10	22.3	-	53	47	-	-	-	-	-	100
Montgomery	9	13.2	-	94	4	-	-	-	-	2	100
Perry	5	11.5	-	24	69	2	-	-	-	5	100
Pickens	10	17.6	-	14	76	-	-	1	-	9	100
Randolph	8	9.2	-	67	7	16	-	6	2	2	100
Russell	7	10.6	-	97	1	-	-	1	-	1	100
St. Clair	4	4.3	-	42	52	1	-	5	-	-	100
Shelby	3	5.9	-	3	18	38	-	41	-	-	100
Sumter	13	13.5	-	56	41	-	-	-	-	3	100
Talladega	11	10.0	-	70	18	11	-	-	*	1	100
Tallapoosa	5	6.3	-	78	10	-	-	6	-	6	100
Tuscaloosa	11	17.6	-	10	74	6	6	4	-	*	100
Walker	6	6.0	-	17	27	29	7	15	-	5	100
All Other	14	14.8	1	76	1	11	-	6	3	2	100
Total	226	342.7	*	57	27	7	1	5	*	3	100
District 3											
Barbour	8	11.5	-	89	-	2	5	-	-	4	100
Bullock	5	6.8	-	94	-	-	2	-	2	2	100
Butler	6	10.2	-	45	31	3	4	-	4	13	100
Coffee	8	12.7	-	66	3	4	15	-	6	6	100
Conecuh	8	11.5	-	36	3	3	57	-	-	1	100
Covington	8	19.6	-	56	6	3	28	-	2	5	100
Crenshaw	6	8.6	-	74	4	6	8	-	8	-	100
Dale	4	6.1	-	87	-	5	4	-	-	4	100
Escambia	4	18.2	-	77	4	2	13	4	-	-	100
Geneva	11	22.4	-	47	-	3	22	-	24	4	100
Henry	7	11.3	-	63	2	6	23	-	4	2	100
Houston	9	18.5	-	59	-	10	27	-	2	2	100
Monroe	11	17.1	-	46	11	-	43	-	-	*	100
Pike	10	14.9	2	67	-	12	14	-	5	-	100
Wilcox	8	11.6	-	46	40	-	13	-	-	1	100
All Other	3	8.9	-	77	15	2	6	-	-	-	100
Total	116	209.9	*	61	7	4	20	*	5	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 10. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100+W	Coker Staple	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1952	1,017	9	1	*	3	84	-	-	2	1	100
1953	1,197	7	1	-	3	86	-	-	1	2	100
1954	842	6	2	-	4	80	1	4	*	3	100
1955	1,144	4	1	*	6	81	*	5	1	2	100
1956	-	2	1	-	6	81	*	5	1	4	100
District 2											
1952	422	3	-	*	-	76	5	-	13	3	100
1953	435	2	-	*	-	74	4	5	12	3	100
1954	374	2	-	*	-	73	3	2	12	3	100
1955	438	2	-	-	1	79	2	1	10	5	100
1956	-	4	-	*	1	76	3	1	9	6	100
District 3											
1952	239	12	-	*	*	79	4	-	3	2	100
1953	283	12	-	*	*	79	4	-	3	2	100
1954	196	12	-	-	*	75	5	4	3	1	100
1955	262	10	-	*	1	78	6	2	2	1	100
1956	-	10	-	*	*	80	6	1	2	1	100
District 4											
1952	181	7	-	-	*	80	3	-	8	2	100
1953	184	7	-	-	*	78	7	-	6	2	100
1954	152	5	-	-	*	81	5	2	6	1	100
1955	152	8	-	-	*	76	6	2	7	1	100
1956	-	12	-	-	*	74	7	2	4	1	100
State											
1952	1,859	8	1	*	2	81	2	-	5	1	100
1953	2,099	7	*	*	2	82	2	1	4	2	100
1954	1,564	6	1	*	2	79	2	4	4	2	100
1955	1,996	4	1	*	3	80	2	3	4	3	100
1956	-	4	*	*	4	80	2	3	3	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 11. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Oins	1955 Ginnings	Bobshaw	Coker 100-W	Coker Staple	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
		1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Bolivar	57	173.9	-	-	*	-	86	10	1	3	100
Coahoma	47	150.9	-	-	-	-	97	1	-	2	100
Holmes	25	59.3	1	10	-	4	82	1	-	2	100
Humphreys	16	60.4	-	12	-	25	61	-	-	2	100
Issaquena	3	4.9	-	8	-	3	46	40	3	-	100
Leflore	38	107.6	-	2	-	3	92	-	-	3	100
Quitman	25	82.1	-	1	-	-	86	11	1	1	100
Sharkey	15	25.3	-	3	24	20	21	31	1	-	100
Sunflower	45	166.5	3	1	-	2	84	1	1	8	100
Tallahatchie	28	74.5	-	-	-	-	89	9	-	2	100
Tunica	23	65.2	-	-	-	-	99	-	-	1	100
Warren	3	7.6	-	1	-	-	93	5	-	1	100
Washington	47	108.2	-	1	-	13	70	10	5	1	100
Yazoo	18	57.7	-	9	-	50	39	-	-	2	100
Total	390	1,144.1	1	2	1	6	81	5	1	3	100
District 2											
Alcorn	10	12.6	2	-	70	8	2	5	11	2	100
Benton	6	10.5	8	-	38	15	-	-	32	7	100
Calhoun	10	22.5	3	2	58	3	-	-	34	-	100
Chickasaw	11	19.5	1	-	91	1	2	-	5	-	100
De Soto	23	38.5	12	-	70	2	9	-	2	5	100
Grenada	7	15.4	5	2	88	2	-	-	2	1	100
Itawamba	8	9.7	3	-	85	-	-	-	11	1	100
Lafayette	8	15.5	-	-	93	-	-	-	-	7	100
Lee	20	31.8	1	-	75	-	-	-	18	6	100
Marshall	17	35.2	2	4	68	4	-	-	15	7	100
Monroe	16	33.9	5	-	88	1	-	-	5	1	100
Panola	25	59.8	-	1	89	1	-	-	4	5	100
Pontotoc	13	19.3	7	-	65	9	-	-	10	9	100
Prentiss	12	18.7	13	-	63	4	-	-	9	11	100
Tate	14	35.7	-	-	85	7	-	-	1	7	100
Tippah	13	23.2	18	-	54	8	-	-	15	5	100
Tishomingo	6	8.2	3	-	79	3	1	-	7	7	100
Union	9	16.2	3	1	72	5	-	-	11	8	100
Yalobusha	5	12.0	-	8	86	-	-	-	2	4	100
Total	233	438.2	4	1	76	3	1	*	9	6	100
District 3											
Attala	9	11.9	8	-	1	84	5	-	2	-	100
Carroll	7	12.5	11	-	1	84	1	-	1	2	100
Clay	7	7.5	4	-	-	89	5	1	1	-	100
Hinds	14	27.8	4	-	1	87	1	2	5	-	100
Kemper	7	5.6	8	-	-	88	3	-	1	-	100
Lauderdale	8	7.4	38	-	-	54	5	-	2	1	100
Leake	13	20.4	18	-	-	71	6	1	3	1	100
Lowndes	9	19.3	2	-	-	87	5	5	-	1	100
Madison	16	40.4	3	-	-	94	2	1	-	-	100
Montgomery	10	12.5	9	-	-	86	1	-	3	1	100
Neshoba	13	16.8	31	1	-	54	12	-	-	2	100
Newton	10	9.1	21	-	-	53	23	-	2	1	100
Noxubee	8	18.5	1	-	-	90	7	1	-	1	100
Rankin	7	9.6	3	-	-	86	8	2	-	1	100
Scott	9	14.4	21	-	-	61	16	-	1	1	100
Webster	6	8.7	3	-	-	92	2	-	3	-	100
Winston	10	14.6	13	-	-	77	6	1	3	-	100
All Other	3	5.3	6	-	-	86	3	-	3	2	100
Total	166	262.3	10	*	*	80	6	1	2	1	100
District 4											
Adams	4	1.2	1	-	99	-	-	-	-	-	100
Amite	8	7.6	-	-	97	-	-	2	-	1	100
Clairborne	4	2.8	1	1	98	-	-	-	-	-	100
Clarke	4	4.5	22	-	64	10	-	2	-	2	100
Copiah	10	9.5	11	-	72	5	-	11	-	1	100
Covington	7	11.0	7	-	59	23	2	7	-	2	100
Jasper	10	7.4	20	-	69	7	-	3	-	1	100
Jefferson Davis	11	18.5	26	-	67	5	1	-	-	1	100
Jefferson	4	4.9	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Jones	5	4.1	26	-	48	18	1	3	4	-	100
Lamar	3	2.6	27	-	48	17	3	4	-	1	100
Lawrence	7	8.5	3	-	83	3	7	4	-	-	100
Lincoln	4	5.9	2	-	72	3	-	23	-	-	100
Marion	9	10.2	7	-	73	15	1	3	-	1	100
Pike	8	5.1	-	-	86	1	1	12	-	-	100
Simpson	6	10.3	2	-	88	2	-	6	-	2	100
Smith	11	9.2	7	-	84	5	-	1	2	1	100
Walthall	9	12.0	4	-	79	14	3	-	-	-	100
Wayne	4	6.5	55	-	35	7	-	3	-	-	100
All Other	7	10.0	11	-	62	4	16	6	-	1	100
Total	135	151.8	12	*	74	7	2	4	*	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 12. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings 1,000 bales	Coker 100-W Percent	Delta- pine Percent	Empire Percent	Fox Percent	Hibred and Half & Half Percent	Stone- ville Percent	Other 1/ Percent	Total Percent
District 1									
1952	565	1	62	22	2	1	8	4	100
1953	613	1	61	18	11	1	6	2	100
1954	491	*	61	19	10	2	6	2	100
1955	558	*	68	17	6	2	5	2	100
1956	-	1	66	17	5	1	6	4	100
District 2									
1952	56	11	30	32	*	10	12	5	100
1953	73	8	26	36	5	12	7	6	100
1954	43	7	24	42	4	9	9	5	100
1955	55	6	28	40	2	11	6	7	100
1956	-	6	26	45	3	3	4	13	100
State									
1952	621	2	60	23	2	2	9	2	100
1953	686	1	58	19	10	2	6	4	100
1954	534	1	57	21	10	2	6	3	100
1955	613	1	65	19	6	3	5	1	100
1956	-	1	63	20	5	1	6	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 13. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Carroll	17	20.9	-	-	55	43	-	-	1	1	100
Chester	9	11.0	-	-	81	10	-	4	-	5	100
Crockett	12	37.8	-	-	64	20	8	-	5	3	100
Dyer	17	38.3	-	8	65	9	3	-	13	2	100
Fayette	19	36.6	2	-	74	5	-	-	18	1	100
Gibson	22	56.9	-	1	52	37	5	-	5	-	100
Hardeman	14	24.2	-	-	74	8	-	-	11	7	100
Hardin	5	5.4	-	-	69	18	5	-	-	8	100
Haywood	21	44.1	1	-	85	8	-	-	2	4	100
Henderson	18	20.7	-	-	44	27	7	11	1	10	100
Henry	3	3.0	-	-	62	27	-	-	4	7	100
Lake	10	35.5	-	-	80	-	18	-	-	2	100
Lauderdale	21	47.8	4	2	56	16	2	-	16	4	100
McNairy	18	22.7	-	-	71	5	7	6	5	6	100
Madison	21	32.7	1	-	64	22	7	*	2	4	100
Obion	8	12.1	2	2	62	25	5	-	3	1	100
Shelby	27	46.6	-	-	68	17	9	-	1	5	100
Tipton	20	50.8	1	-	72	15	4	-	8	-	100
Weakley	6	7.7	10	-	40	37	-	5	5	3	100
All Other	3	3.6	-	-	58	26	-	13	-	3	100
Total	291	558.4	1	1	66	17	5	1	6	3	100

Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stone-ville	Tennessee 241	Other 1/	Total
-------------	------------	--------	-----	------------------------	-------------	---------------	----------	-------

District 2


Franklin	3	6.0	4	44	39	-	5	-	-	8	100
Giles	5	5.7	-	41	46	5	-	-	-	8	100
Lawrence	15	18.5	4	17	60	-	3	-	-	16	100
Lincoln	8	15.0	16	6	50	-	5	15	-	8	100
Rutherford	4	4.5	-	77	-	5	-	-	-	18	100
All Other	12	4.9	3	34	24	22	1	1	10	5	100
Total	47	54.6	6	26	45	3	3	4	1	12	100

Table 14. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1956

County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
County												
Butler	10	16.9	-	22	45	1	4	3	3	18	4	100
Dunklin	38	81.1	1	42	24	-	8	3	3	17	2	100
Mississippi	15	36.9	-	10	65	-	20	-	-	4	1	100
New Madrid	41	112.1	-	36	31	-	24	*	-	8	1	100
Pemiscot	39	119.2	-	26	37	-	22	1	-	14	-	100
Scott	10	19.9	-	7	29	-	39	2	-	22	1	100
Stoddard	12	32.8	-	24	19	4	35	5	-	12	1	100
All Other	1	.8	-	-	50	10	30	-	10	-	-	100
Total	166	419.7	*	29	33	*	21	2	1	13	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 15. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Paula	Rowden	Stoneville	Other ^{1/}	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1952	39	-	48	7	*	29	-	8	3	5	100
1953	44	-	53	5	1	32	*	3	2	4	100
1954	43	-	58	3	3	27	-	3	1	5	100
1955	68	-	55	3	*	35	-	1	1	5	100
1956	-	2	59	7	*	27	-	1	1	3	100
District 2											
1952	787	-	74	9	*	*	2	2	9	4	100
1953	877	*	67	8	11	*	2	1	8	3	100
1954	793	1	68	8	10	*	2	1	8	2	100
1955	901	7	73	7	4	-	2	1	4	2	100
1956	-	13	73	5	4	-	*	*	3	2	100
District 3											
1952	96	-	66	3	-	1	-	7	20	3	100
1953	116	-	75	3	1	1	-	5	12	3	100
1954	84	-	79	2	*	1	-	6	9	3	100
1955	136	2	75	2	*	2	-	6	9	4	100
1956	-	2	70	2	1	2	-	8	10	5	100
District 4											
1952	422	*	64	1	*	*	-	3	27	2	100
1953	490	*	65	1	3	*	-	1	27	1	100
1954	427	3	65	1	2	*	1	1	24	3	100
1955	546	7	71	2	2	*	-	1	14	3	100
1956	-	18	66	2	2	*	-	*	8	4	100
State											
1952	1,344	*	70	6	*	1	2	3	15	3	100
1953	1,527	*	67	5	7	1	1	1	14	4	100
1954	1,347	2	68	5	7	1	1	1	13	4	100
1955	1,651	7	72	5	3	1	1	1	7	3	100
1956	-	13	69	4	3	1	*	1	5	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

^{1/} Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 16. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Northern Star	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
Conway	3	5.8	-	79	-	-	9	-	7	-	5	100
Faulkner	6	13.3	3	51	-	-	39	1	2	1	3	100
Independence	4	4.9	-	86	2	-	9	-	-	-	3	100
White	13	20.5	-	33	18	-	44	-	-	-	5	100
Yell	6	12.6	-	87	5	-	1	-	-	2	5	100
All Other	9	10.7	-	65	2	1	25	-	2	*	5	100
Total	41	67.8	1	59	7	*	27	*	1	1	4	100
District 2												
Clay	22	40.9	61	16	14	5	2	-	-	2	*	100
Craighead	37	79.2	41	36	6	2	1	2	-	12	-	100
Crittenden	59	134.7	1	87	2	6	-	-	-	1	3	100
Cross	23	50.2	2	86	4	5	-	-	-	1	2	100
Greene	17	36.0	33	35	7	1	2	-	-	22	-	100
Jackson	21	48.5	1	71	19	-	-	-	*	2	7	100
Lawrence	13	15.9	5	32	58	-	-	-	-	1	4	100
Mississippi	75	238.6	14	77	-	9	-	-	-	-	*	100
Poinsett	28	111.9	5	92	-	1	-	-	-	-	2	100
Randolph	8	10.9	4	63	24	2	-	-	-	3	4	100
St. Francis	30	90.9	6	77	5	-	-	-	-	3	9	100
Woodruff	14	43.4	-	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100
Total	347	901.1	13	73	5	4	*	*	*	3	2	100
District 3												
Ashley	13	37.1	-	*	87	-	2	-	-	5	6	100
Bradley	5	5.2	-	-	63	-	-	2	4	27	4	100
Calhoun	4	4.3	-	-	43	4	-	6	42	1	4	100
Clark	3	3.4	-	-	53	-	-	12	28	4	3	100
Cleveland	5	5.0	-	-	50	-	-	3	1	43	3	100
Columbia	7	9.4	4	-	49	13	-	9	6	19	-	100
Drew	7	14.7	-	18	47	-	-	1	*	33	1	100
Hempstead	11	10.6	-	-	61	3	-	-	29	2	5	100
Lafayette	8	16.1	4	-	84	-	4	-	1	3	4	100
Little River	4	6.2	3	-	90	-	-	-	*	5	2	100
Miller	5	9.0	-	-	85	-	-	-	5	7	3	100
Nevada	3	4.0	-	-	33	23	-	7	33	4	-	100
All Other	11	10.9	1	-	58	5	-	5	16	3	12	100
Total	86	135.9	1	2	70	2	1	2	8	10	4	100
District 4												
Arkansas	6	10.7	-	-	67	9	-	-	-	19	5	100
Chicot	12	41.0	-	3	85	-	4	-	-	6	2	100
Desha	15	57.5	-	33	50	-	1	-	-	14	2	100
Jefferson	36	83.7	2	11	80	-	2	-	-	3	2	100
Lee	23	76.9	2	2	64	7	5	-	-	16	4	100
Lincoln	12	36.8	2	39	50	-	4	-	-	2	3	100
Lonoke	23	70.1	-	73	19	-	-	2	-	5	1	100
Monroe	13	38.2	1	1	84	7	-	-	-	3	4	100
Phillips	42	108.8	2	2	80	1	2	-	-	10	3	100
Prairie	5	9.7	-	-	85	6	-	4	-	2	3	100
Pulaski	15	13.0	-	6	73	2	-	-	13	5	1	100
Total	202	546.4	1	18	66	2	2	*	*	8	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 17. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings 1,000 bales	Coker 100-W Percent	Delfos Percent	Delta- pine Percent	Fox Percent	Stone- ville Percent	Other 1/ Percent	Total Percent
District 1								
1952	197	•	-	99	-	1	•	100
1953	210	1	-	99	-	•	•	100
1954	119	•	-	98	1	•	1	100
1955	128	1	2	96	•	•	1	100
1956	-	1	•	96	•	•	3	100
District 2								
1952	22	1	-	94	-	1	4	100
1953	22	6	-	91	-	1	2	100
1954	15	4	-	91	-	•	5	100
1955	22	6	-	88	-	1	5	100
1956	-	6	-	86	-	•	8	100
District 3								
1952	313	2	1	94	-	3	•	100
1953	414	1	1	93	-	1	4	100
1954	248	•	3	91	4	1	1	100
1955	278	•	4	92	3	•	1	100
1956	-	•	2	95	2	•	1	100
District 4								
1952	207	-	-	97	-	3	•	100
1953	149	•	-	96	-	3	•	100
1954	180	•	-	97	•	2	1	100
1955	144	-	-	96	-	4	•	100
1956	-	•	-	90	2	7	1	100
State								
1952	739	1	•	96	-	3	•	100
1953	795	1	•	96	-	1	2	100
1954	562	•	2	94	2	1	1	100
1955	572	1	2	94	1	1	1	100
1956	-	1	1	94	1	2	1	100

• Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 18. Louisiana: Estimated percentages of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes and districts, crop of 1956

District and Parish	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Fox	Stardel	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Bossier	9	21.5	-	95	1	3	-	1	100
Caddo	21	47.8	-	95	-	2	-	2	100
De Soto	7	6.6	-	98	-	-	-	2	100
Natchitoches	16	17.1	2	94	1	-	-	3	100
Rapides	14	20.8	-	99	-	-	-	1	100
Red River	8	9.9	4	95	-	-	-	1	100
All Other	5	4.3	-	92	-	-	3	5	100
Total	80	128.0	1	96	*	1	*	2	100
District 2									
Bienville	5	3.1	-	97	2	-	-	1	100
Claiborne	7	4.8	-	81	14	1	-	4	100
Lincoln	4	3.0	5	91	3	-	-	1	100
Union	3	4.5	22	70	-	-	-	8	100
Webster	6	6.0	-	97	2	-	-	1	100
All Other	2	.8	33	58	9	-	-	-	100
Total	27	22.2	6	86	5	*	-	3	100
District 3									
Caldwell	3	4.5	-	-	97	2	-	1	100
Catahoula	5	11.1	-	-	100	-	-	-	100
Concordia	7	10.1	-	*	98	1	-	1	100
East Carroll	15	23.3	-	16	79	4	-	1	100
Franklin	22	52.8	*	-	98	1	-	1	100
Madison	7	17.3	-	1	96	3	-	*	100
Morehouse	12	38.8	-	-	98	1	-	1	100
Ouachita	5	14.1	-	-	96	3	-	1	100
Richland	21	55.1	-	-	98	1	-	1	100
Tensas	7	18.7	1	2	92	4	-	1	100
West Carroll	15	32.4	1	-	96	2	*	1	100
Total	119	278.2	*	2	95	2	*	1	100
District 4									
Acadia	8	8.6	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Avoyelles	22	26.9	-	95	-	4	-	1	100
East Feliciana	3	3.1	-	99	-	-	-	1	100
Evangeline	14	16.5	-	98	-	-	-	2	100
Lafayette	15	14.4	-	98	-	-	-	-	100
Pointe Coupee	10	11.1	2	71	-	-	27	-	100
St. Landry	32	38.9	-	92	-	2	6	-	100
St. Martin	6	8.0	2	51	-	3	43	1	100
Vermilion	5	5.1	-	99	-	-	1	-	100
Washington	5	6.9	1	90	2	-	7	-	100
All Other	8	4.1	-	91	-	*	7	2	100
Total	128	143.6	*	90	*	2	7	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 19. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Cluster	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140	Lockett Stormproof	Northern Star	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
1952	179	6	-	14	43	6	4	17	-	2	8	100
1953	293	8	*	13	42	8	8	14	-	1	6	100
1954	217	7	*	15	43	6	7	13	-	1	8	100
1955	311	5	*	16	45	4	7	14	-	1	8	100
1956	-	3	*	3	49	1	6	24	-	1	13	100
District 2												
1952	44	-	20	-	9	2	-	2	5	42	20	100
1953	66	-	21	*	6	1	1	*	2	46	23	100
1954	34	-	23	1	6	1	*	1	4	51	13	100
1955	71	-	28	*	7	-	*	1	*	52	12	100
1956	-	-	23	1	6	-	-	7	1	50	12	100
District 3												
1952	36	-	27	12	21	5	-	6	4	14	11	100
1953	68	-	31	9	17	3	-	2	5	21	12	100
1954	38	-	23	11	17	2	-	5	5	24	13	100
1955	66	-	31	11	16	1	1	5	2	19	14	100
1956	-	-	21	6	32	-	1	9	1	23	7	100
State												
1952	259	5	5	12	30	6	3	14	1	7	17	100
1953	427	5	9	10	33	6	6	10	1	12	8	100
1954	289	5	8	12	33	5	5	10	1	13	8	100
1955	448	4	8	13	36	3	5	11	*	10	10	100
1956	-	2	7	3	40	1	4	19	*	12	12	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 20. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Cluster	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140 and Stormproof	Northern Star	Parrot	Stoneville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
Beckham	17	34.1	-	-	16	32	14	5	-	30	3	100
Blaine	7	8.3	-	-	32	-	47	-	3	8	10	100
Caddo	26	38.6	-	3	57	2	29	2	3	1	3	100
Comanche	7	4.8	-	4	34	-	49	5	3	-	5	100
Cotton	4	6.2	-	16	52	-	27	4	-	-	1	100
Custer	9	11.6	-	-	66	2	21	-	5	-	6	100
Dewey	3	4.1	-	-	29	45	17	-	-	3	6	100
Greer	11	19.3	-	-	48	2	37	8	-	3	2	100
Harmont	9	25.7	12	-	24	-	39	2	-	13	10	100
Jackson	19	46.6	-	2	54	3	33	-	-	2	6	100
Kiowa	17	27.7	2	4	66	1	21	2	-	-	4	100
Roger Mills	4	5.5	-	-	25	37	20	6	-	7	5	100
Tillman	15	45.1	9	12	54	7	10	5	-	-	3	100
Washita	20	33.5	-	1	82	1	13	-	-	-	3	100
Total	168	311.1	3	3	49	7	24	3	1	5	5	100

Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Northern Star	Parrot	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
------------	--------	------------------------	---------	---------------	--------	--------	------------	----------	-------

District 2

Canadian	5	5.8	4	-	-	61	13	-	-	-	22	100
Cleveland	3	1.7	-	-	2	2	5	-	-	86	5	100
Hughes	5	3.7	-	-	8	-	3	9	-	74	6	100
Logan	4	1.7	40	-	-	6	3	-	-	44	7	100
McIntosh	7	8.1	6	1	5	-	7	7	-	72	2	100
Muskogee	14	20.7	40	7	-	-	6	-	1	42	4	100
Okmulgee	3	4.3	-	6	3	-	12	-	-	77	2	100
Payne	3	1.6	13	-	-	4	17	-	-	60	6	100
Pittsburg	4	4.0	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	68	8	100
Wagoner	5	4.0	55	-	-	-	6	5	-	29	5	100
All Other	18	15.4	19	6	1	3	6	5	1	51	8	100
Total	71	71.0	23	4	1	6	7	3	1	50	5	100

Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Parrot	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
------------	------------------------	---------	--------	---------------	--------	--------	------------	----------	-------

District 3

Bryan	5	9.0	31	-	31	-	8	-	-	27	3	100
Garvin	6	5.0	1	-	22	-	6	-	-	70	1	100
Grady	12	15.0	-	4	75	-	7	-	-	7	7	100
Jefferson	8	8.0	-	18	13	-	24	4	-	27	14	100
McClain	5	5.0	1	2	77	-	8	-	-	1	11	100
Stephens	3	3.0	-	16	11	-	30	-	-	34	9	100
All Other	13	20.6	53	5	2	4	4	-	3	24	5	100
Total	52	65.6	21	6	32	1	9	1	1	23	6	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 21 Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state 1952-56

District and State	Ginnings	Acala	American Egyptian	Delos	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half	Lankart	Lockett 140 and Stormproof	Macha	Mebane	Northern Star	Paymaster	Qualla	Rowden	Stone-ville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1																			
1952	1,436	*	-	-	2	*	8	1	14	50	1	8	11	*	-	-	-	5	100
1953	1,370	*	-	-	2	1	4	8	29	26	*	4	19	*	-	-	-	7	100
1954	1,581	1	-	-	1	*	2	17	20	15	*	18	17	-	-	-	-	9	100
1955	1,392	1	-	-	2	3	8	32	7	4	1	11	23	*	-	*	-	8	100
1956	-	3	-	-	2	3	1	53	5	1	1	11	11	-	-	1	3	5	100
District 2																			
1952	247	1	-	-	8	-	12	14	13	11	4	17	2	3	*	*	-	15	100
1953	244	*	-	-	8	-	24	10	18	4	3	23	1	1	*	*	-	8	100
1954	391	-	-	-	10	-	11	25	10	3	4	18	2	3	-	-	-	14	100
1955	522	-	-	-	9	*	9	20	15	3	4	12	4	3	1	-	-	20	100
1956	-	2	-	-	7	1	7	28	9	*	1	17	2	1	1	-	20	4	100
District 3																			
1952	9	-	-	-	*	*	9	12	10	1	30	12	-	3	3	4	-	16	100
1953	30	-	-	-	*	*	12	4	8	*	29	14	2	12	2	2	-	17	100
1954	16	-	-	-	1	1	11	13	6	-	18	21	4	11	3	9	-	2	100
1955	17	-	-	-	-	1	5	22	5	-	13	12	-	17	1	1	-	23	100
1956	-	-	-	-	1	-	4	33	8	-	9	17	-	11	-	-	2	15	100
District 4																			
1952	601	-	-	-	2	*	*	6	1	*	24	1	*	3	59	1	-	3	100
1953	1,074	*	-	-	3	*	*	16	-	-	17	4	*	13	42	1	-	4	100
1954	440	*	-	-	4	*	*	33	*	-	11	10	*	11	28	*	-	3	100
1955	628	*	-	-	4	*	*	32	1	-	12	11	*	17	20	*	-	3	100
1956	-	-	-	-	8	*	*	49	*	-	6	11	-	9	14	*	-	2	100
District 5																			
1952	182	*	-	-	47	*	11	*	-	-	16	*	*	3	14	2	-	7	100
1953	246	2	-	-	51	*	8	*	-	-	11	1	-	8	13	2	-	4	100
1954	145	1	-	-	47	*	11	1	-	-	13	2	-	7	11	1	-	6	100
1955	229	2	-	-	57	1	8	1	-	-	8	3	-	5	11	1	-	3	100
1956	-	3	-	-	61	2	7	3	-	-	9	2	-	4	4	1	-	4	100
District 6																			
1952	254	78	15	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	100
1953	266	67	13	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	100
1954	270	79	7	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	100
1955	278	80	13	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5	100
1956	-	93	4	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	1	-	2	100
District 7																			
1952	14	5	-	-	1	-	3	20	1	12	21	1	-	5	15	-	-	16	100
1953	33	5	-	-	-	-	8	27	*	*	11	1	-	18	19	-	-	11	100
1954	20	4	-	-	-	-	7	37	-	1	6	1	-	13	6	1	-	24	100
1955	26	2	-	-	-	-	6	43	-	-	5	*	-	6	5	-	-	33	100
1956	-	3	-	-	*	-	3	26	-	-	4	4	-	7	5	-	40	8	100
District 8																			
1952	417	*	-	7	10	1	-	18	-	-	4	4	-	9	34	6	-	7	100
1953	279	*	-	12	13	3	-	23	-	-	3	7	*	6	23	3	-	7	100
1954	350	*	-	7	19	4	-	17	*	-	5	8	*	8	22	1	-	9	100
1955	222	*	-	15	13	5	-	25	-	-	3	9	*	7	14	4	-	5	100
1956	-	*	-	23	17	3	-	15	*	-	2	7	-	9	10	5	-	9	100
District 9																			
1952	219	-	-	-	77	-	*	6	-	-	-	1	-	1	5	3	-	7	100
1953	223	*	-	1	77	2	*	9	-	-	*	2	-	*	1	3	-	5	100
1954	182	-	-	1	80	*	-	7	-	-	*	2	-	*	2	2	-	6	100
1955	220	*	-	1	80	3	-	5	-	-	*	*	-	1	4	*	-	7	100
1956	-	*	-	1	81	3	-	6	-	-	*	1	-	*	1	2	-	5	100
District 10																			
1952	364	1	-	*	66	11	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	*	3	8	-	9	100
1953	290	2	-	-	66	11	-	2	-	-	1	1	*	1	3	8	-	5	100
1954	467	1	-	-	62	12	-	*	-	-	1	3	*	3	3	4	-	12	100
1955	423	1	-	1	66	8	-	1	-	-	*	3	*	4	3	7	-	6	100
1956	-	2	-	3	61	9	-	2	-	-	*	2	*	2	1	13	-	5	100
State																			
1952	3,743	6	*	*	21	2	4	5	6	17	6	6	4	1	13	2	-	7	100
1953	4,295	6	1	1	18	2	4	9	12	10	4	5	7	4	11	2	-	4	100
1954	3,862	5	*	1	15	1	4	18	8	5	5	11	6	4	10	1	-	6	100
1955	3,957	6	1	2	17	3	5	22	5	2	3	8	10	4	5	1	-	6	100
1956	-	8	*	2	19	3	2	31	2	*	2	9	4	3	4	2	4	5	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Acala	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett Storm-proof	Macha	Northern Star	Pay master	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1														
Bailey	19	58.8	2	-	1	-	33	5	3	40	6	-	10	100
Briscoe	4	14.0	-	-	6	-	13	4	-	48	25	-	4	100
Castro	13	42.1	-	-	7	-	19	38	-	11	20	4	1	100
Cochran	13	45.9	-	-	8	-	69	9	1	6	-	-	7	100
Crosby	20	78.2	-	-	-	1	71	-	-	18	2	3	5	100
Dawson	26	85.1	1	21	-	1	51	1	-	-	-	7	18	100
Floyd	19	61.0	-	-	-	-	5	10	-	39	46	-	-	100
Gaines	7	17.0	10	3	-	2	76	-	-	2	-	2	5	100
Hale	32	157.8	3	-	1	-	57	3	-	11	21	-	4	100
Hockley	33	136.9	-	-	-	-	75	7	1	7	6	-	4	100
Howard	14	28.7	-	2	-	-	5	15	-	14	2	45	17	100
Lamb	35	135.5	-	-	-	-	48	1	2	17	17	-	15	100
Lubbock	40	216.4	-	-	-	-	83	3	-	1	4	-	9	100
Lynn	26	89.1	-	-	-	7	76	2	-	7	-	1	7	100
Martin	13	48.0	40	6	-	12	6	-	3	-	1	12	20	100
Midland	3	10.4	17	8	-	34	-	-	5	-	11	12	13	100
Parmer	13	47.4	13	-	11	-	19	4	-	17	26	8	2	100
Swisher	10	30.7	-	-	17	-	17	6	-	4	50	-	6	100
Terry	16	57.6	-	1	19	1	53	4	-	13	5	-	4	100
Yoakum	6	18.9	12	-	27	-	36	-	-	-	10	-	15	100
All Other	5	12.3	19	-	12	4	15	9	2	13	21	3	2	100
Total	367	1,391.8	3	2	3	1	53	5	1	11	11	3	7	100
District 2														
Baylor	3	9.5	7	4	-	-	42	-	-	2	-	26	19	100
Childress	6	20.5	-	4	-	7	33	12	-	24	-	14	6	100
Coleman	5	6.0	-	-	-	6	-	-	6	22	-	46	20	100
Collingsworth	13	31.9	-	-	-	-	2	16	-	40	-	35	7	100
Cottle	9	21.7	-	-	-	22	34	11	-	16	7	-	10	100
Dickens	9	22.2	-	-	-	66	12	1	-	5	-	-	16	100
Donley	5	11.2	-	-	-	2	-	23	-	46	2	-	27	100
Fisher	11	32.9	-	1	-	-	21	-	-	11	2	58	7	100
Foard	5	7.0	-	2	-	18	35	17	-	26	-	-	2	100
Garza	6	14.3	-	-	-	2	81	-	-	-	-	14	3	100
Hall	15	41.3	2	16	-	4	4	16	-	46	9	-	3	100
Hardeman	7	17.3	7	-	-	-	24	23	-	38	-	7	1	100
Haskell	12	48.4	-	25	-	-	50	-	-	20	-	-	5	100
Jones	20	36.4	-	-	-	1	62	-	1	3	-	28	5	100
King	3	3.8	-	-	-	20	10	28	-	42	-	-	-	100
Knox	9	27.9	18	46	-	-	20	-	-	16	-	-	-	100
Mitchell	10	21.7	-	-	-	6	24	-	4	-	-	65	1	100
Motley	7	13.3	-	-	37	-	4	32	-	3	23	-	1	100
Nolan	7	13.6	-	-	-	-	51	-	-	2	2	41	4	100
Runnels	15	22.6	1	-	-	4	28	-	16	3	-	30	18	100
Scurry	8	22.6	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	3	93	3	100
Stonewall	4	7.7	-	-	-	6	74	-	4	-	-	-	16	100
Taylor	9	12.6	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	11	-	26	17	100
Wheeler	10	13.1	-	-	-	-	17	30	-	40	5	-	8	100
Wichita	4	4.5	-	-	-	3	34	49	-	14	-	-	-	100
Wilbarger	11	31.5	-	3	-	22	32	25	-	4	-	-	14	100
All Other	3	6.8	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	36	-	-	45	100
Total	226	522.3	2	7	1	7	28	9	1	17	2	20	6	100
District 3														
Clay	3	3.8	-	-	4	45	31	-	15	-	-	5	-	100
Erath	4	2.2	6	3	2	20	-	1	31	31	6	-	-	100
Throckmorton	3	1.7	-	-	5	75	-	15	-	-	-	5	-	100
All Other	13	8.8	-	2	5	22	1	13	19	14	22	2	-	100
Total	23	16.5	1	1	4	33	8	9	17	11	13	3	-	100
District 4														
Bell	23	24.9	-	-	-	-	49	-	11	1	17	11	11	100
Bosque	10	2.9	3	1	-	-	52	-	10	3	16	7	8	100
Collin	27	40.0	-	1	-	-	64	-	5	17	5	8	-	100
Cooke	4	2.9	-	-	-	18	-	-	62	14	6	-	-	100
Coryell	6	6.2	24	-	-	-	53	-	-	12	2	2	7	100
Dallas	8	9.7	-	26	-	-	5	-	7	5	4	50	3	100
Delta	11	33.3	-	3	-	-	54	-	3	8	-	31	1	100
Denton	8	3.8	-	-	-	-	3	2	37	52	3	3	-	100
Ellis	38	64.0	-	12	-	-	53	-	8	-	5	22	-	100
Falls	19	27.7	-	12	2	-	53	-	3	11	7	2	10	100
Fannin	16	35.4	-	26	-	-	47	3	*	5	10	9	-	100
Grayson	12	13.9	-	4	-	-	35	-	8	43	10	-	-	100
Hamilton	5	3.2	8	-	-	-	72	-	5	10	-	-	5	100
Hill	30	41.3	-	-	-	-	94	-	1	2	1	2	-	100
Hunt	21	48.6	-	-	-	-	53	-	5	22	11	8	1	100
Johnson	11	14.1	-	-	-	-	79	-	5	12	-	4	-	100
Kaufman	16	24.8	-	7	-	-	5	-	23	8	38	19	-	100
Lamar	16	42.5	-	41	2	-	18	-	-	7	3	26	3	100
Limestone	12	16.4	-	5	-	4	54	-	14	16	-	7	-	100
McLennan	34	39.9	1	4	-	-	57	-	4	21	8	2	3	100
Milam	16	22.0	-	1	-	-	28	-	16	7	20	20	8	100
Navarro	22	39.3	-	1	-	-	38	-	8	14	14	25	-	100
Rockwall	6	12.4	-	5	-	-	43	-	13	13	18	5	3	100
Tarrant	6	5.2	-	3	-	-	64	-	8	11	-	14	-	100
Williamson	38	53.1	-	1	-	-	41	-	1	14	12	22	9	100
Total	415	627.5	*	8	*	*	49	*	6	11	9	14	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1956 - continued

District and County	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Acala	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 5													
Bowie	4	11.6	-	75	-	1	-	15	-	-	5	4	100
Brazos	9	14.2	24	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	6	100
Cass	4	7.2	-	32	60	4	-	2	-	-	2	-	100
Cherokee	5	6.1	-	44	-	19	-	-	-	2	2	33	100
Freestone	6	6.7	-	8	-	23	11	17	12	22	7	-	100
Grimes	9	14.2	18	70	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	4	100
Harrison	9	5.8	-	90	-	6	-	4	-	-	-	-	100
Hopkins	7	13.0	-	9	2	-	28	25	7	6	23	-	100
Houston	7	16.7	-	85	-	-	-	2	-	5	-	8	100
Leon	5	8.6	-	90	-	-	-	2	-	2	-	6	100
Madison	3	4.1	-	68	-	-	-	4	5	9	5	9	100
Nacogdoches	4	3.6	-	73	-	7	-	14	-	-	-	6	100
Panola	2	3.5	-	75	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	15	100
Red River	9	20.1	-	64	-	-	8	4	4	6	14	-	100
Robertson	12	30.0	-	94	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	5	100
Rusk	4	6.6	-	46	-	40	-	-	-	-	5	9	100
San Augustine	4	3.5	-	72	-	-	-	3	7	-	3	15	100
Shelby	6	7.2	-	73	-	15	6	-	4	-	2	-	100
Smith	9	6.3	-	50	-	32	-	5	9	-	4	-	100
Van Zandt	5	7.8	-	2	-	8	5	45	2	31	7	-	100
Walker	2	3.4	5	52	-	-	-	5	-	20	8	10	100
Waller	3	3.2	-	85	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	5	100
All Other	21	25.8	-	40	1	20	-	27	3	*	3	6	100
Total	149	229.2	3	61	2	7	3	9	2	4	4	5	100

			Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	EH 808	Mesilla Valley	Pay Master	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
District 6												
El Paso	24	69.2	84	-	-	2	-	5	9	-	-	100
Hudspeth	7	30.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pecos	7	38.8	97	-	-	1	*	-	-	-	2	100
	25	116.4	94	1	*	1	*	3	1	-	-	100
Ward	3	12.1	92	-	-	1	2	-	-	4	1	100
All Other	3	11.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	69	278.1	93	*	*	1	*	1	3	1	1	100

			Acala	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
District 7													
McCulloch	6	5.8	13	1	-	5	1	11	17	1	32	19	100
Tom Green	8	11.9	-	-	6	35	-	-	2	3	49	5	100
All Other	14	8.3	-	1	-	27	10	4	6	11	34	7	100
Total	28	26.0	3	*	3	26	4	4	7	5	40	8	100

			Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
District 8													
Austin	16	16.9	-	31	1	-	-	-	12	45	-	11	100
Bastrop	4	4.1	-	20	-	10	1	-	12	38	3	16	100
Burleson	12	22.4	-	91	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	8	100
Caldwell	7	7.7	-	7	-	9	3	-	44	24	-	13	100
Colorado	3	7.4	4	58	5	-	-	-	-	-	27	6	100
De Witt	7	7.1	-	-	-	26	-	9	60	-	-	5	100
Fayette	21	13.7	-	24	-	2	-	-	17	20	26	11	100
Gonzales	9	4.3	-	6	-	13	5	4	16	47	2	7	100
Guadalupe	7	6.2	-	4	-	19	29	1	11	34	-	2	100
Hays	5	5.0	-	8	-	3	37	-	42	10	-	-	100
Karnes	14	6.3	-	1	1	18	-	3	9	63	-	5	100
Lavaca	16	18.4	-	7	-	35	5	-	23	16	-	14	100
Lee	4	3.0	-	3	-	8	12	-	50	15	-	12	100
Nueces	29	19.5	63	6	3	-	-	15	-	-	8	5	100
Refugio	4	8.4	6	31	6	17	-	35	-	-	-	5	100
San Patricio	26	39.3	14	-	5	73	-	-	-	-	3	5	100
Travis	15	11.2	-	-	-	31	7	4	17	30	-	11	100
Washington	17	16.8	2	41	15	-	5	-	16	9	-	12	100
All Other	11	3.9	-	4	4	8	1	-	8	21	46	8	100
Total	227	221.6	23	17	3	15	2	7	9	10	6	8	100

			Acala	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
District 9													
Brazoria	7	14.2	-	-	87	-	-	-	-	-	6	7	100
Calhoun	6	15.0	2	2	80	1	6	2	-	-	2	5	100
Fort Bend	22	59.3	-	-	81	2	4	1	-	2	3	7	100
Jackson	8	14.3	-	-	88	1	6	-	-	-	-	5	100
Matagorda	9	15.5	-	6	82	-	-	4	-	-	3	5	100
Victoria	10	19.4	-	-	8	26	51	3	1	6	-	5	100
Wharton	24	77.9	-	-	95	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	100
All Other	3	4.7	-	-	97	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100
Total	89	220.3	*	1	81	3	6	1	*	1	2	5	100

			Acala	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total
District 10													
Atascosa	3	2.4	-	-	9	-	10	-	12	14	-	5	100
Cameron	41	145.2	1	2	66	17	-	2	-	-	7	5	100
Hidalgo	40	183.0	4	2	63	6	-	1	-	1	18	5	100
Jim Wells	4	1.5	-	-	-	6	37	15	30	10	-	2	100
Live Oak	3	.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	81	-	5	100
Maverick	3	7.4	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Starr	3	2.7	4	-	78	-	-	-	2	11	-	5	100
Willacy	21	61.6	-	4	70	1	-	-	-	-	20	5	100
Zavala	4	14.6	-	14	51	7	-	-	-	-	14	14	100
All Other	13	4.8	1	-	23	25	7	3	15	12	2	12	100
Total	135	423.4	2	3	61	9	2	2	2	1	13	5	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 23. Other Southeastern and South Central States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1952-56

State and Year	Ginnings	Bobshaw	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Plains	Rowden	Sealand and Coastland	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Virginia														
1952	20.2	-	98	-	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1953	15.4	-	99	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1954	9.9	-	99	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	10.6	-	97	-	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	-	-	98	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Florida														
1952	16.8	-	81	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	8	4	6	100
1953	13.8	-	66	-	7	1	-	-	7	-	4	2	13	100
1954	16.5	-	69	-	15	1	-	-	4	-	1	*	10	100
1955	14.8	-	58	-	6	1	-	-	18	-	2	5	10	100
1956	-	-	63	-	3	2	-	-	14	-	2	-	16	100
Kentucky														
1952	5.1	-	-	-	86	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
1953	6.5	-	-	-	83	1	14	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
1954	8.3	-	-	-	83	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	7.6	-	-	-	85	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	-	-	-	-	87	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Illinois														
1952	.9	2	-	-	9	51	1	-	-	-	-	27	10	100
1953	1.7	-	-	-	12	30	32	-	-	-	-	24	2	100
1954	27.0	10	-	-	-	-	72	-	-	-	-	18	-	100
1955	1.8	10	-	10	1	12	56	-	-	-	-	11	-	100
1956	-	-	-	4	4	35	40	-	-	-	-	17	-	100
Missouri														
1952	394.1	-	1	*	43	1	7	15	-	2	-	30	1	100
1953	452.4	*	2	1	31	*	26	12	-	1	-	27	-	100
1954	456.0	*	1	4	30	*	40	4	-	1	-	20	-	100
1955	419.7	-	*	21	35	*	21	4	-	1	-	16	2	100
1956	-	-	*	29	33	*	21	2	-	1	-	13	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 24. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1952-56

State and Year	Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Deltapine	Mesilla Valley	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
1952	932	17	58	-	-	*	-	4	-	-	21	100
1953	1,055	10	80	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	3	100
1954	900	6	80	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	7	100
1955	724	4	86	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	3	100
1956	-	6	83	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	100
California												
1952	1,822	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1953	1,785	100	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1954	1,512	99	1	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1955	1,221	99	1	-	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	-	97	1	-	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
New Mexico												
1952	311	-	2	72	6	2	7	4	-	5	2	100
1953	315	-	-	60	11	1	4	7	-	3	14	100
1954	296	-	-	71	6	2	6	2	-	3	10	100
1955	248	-	-	71	2	2	4	1	3	3	14	100
1956	-	-	-	79	1	1	3	1	1	1	13	100
Texas-District 6												
1952	254	-	-	42	6	32	1	15	-	-	4	100
1953	256	-	-	65	8	10	-	13	-	-	4	100
1954	270	-	-	78	2	3	-	6	1	1	9	100
1955	278	-	-	80	1	3	-	3	10	1	2	100
1956	-	-	-	93	*	1	*	1	3	1	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 25. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and counties, crop of 1956

States and Counties	Gins	1955 Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala P-18	Acala 33	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Acala 2916	Acala WR-2946	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
Graham	6	20.6	-	-	-	13	51	8	-	24	4	100
Maricopa	55	304.7	7	-	1	88	-	-	-	4	-	100
Pima	14	38.5	13	-	-	82	-	-	-	5	-	100
Pinal	47	295.6	3	*	4	91	*	-	-	-	2	100
Yuma	11	46.3	17	-	2	81	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	5	18.0	-	-	-	-	88	-	-	-	12	100
Total	138	723.7	6	*	2	83	4	*	-	4	1	100
California												
Fresno	63	304.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Imperial	16	85.9	71	5	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kern	71	357.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kings	29	145.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	15	52.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	10	36.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Riverside	7	40.9	84	13	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare	44	197.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	255	1,220.9	97	1	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
New Mexico												
Chaves	13	51.7	89	*	8	-	1	-	-	2	*	100
Dona Ana	18	63.8	92	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	2	100
Eddy	12	55.2	98	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
Lea	9	34.5	19	3	44	5	-	16	-	4	9	100
Luna	5	19.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Roosevelt	4	9.3	1	-	16	67	-	14	-	-	2	100
All Other	6	13.9	88	*	*	-	-	6	-	-	6	100
Total	67	247.8	79	1	9	3	1	3	1	1	2	100
Texas												
District 6												
El Paso	24	69.2	84	-	-	2	-	5	9	-	-	100
Hudspeth	7	30.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pecos	7	38.8	97	-	-	1	*	-	-	-	2	100
Reeves	25	116.4	94	1	*	1	-	*	3	1	*	100
Ward	3	12.1	92	-	-	1	2	-	-	4	1	100
All Other	3	11.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	69	278.1	93	*	*	1	*	1	3	1	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

LIBRARY
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY



1022919566